

LOWER WINTERBORNE PARISH COUNCIL

LOWER WINTERBORNE WIND FARM Planning Application No. 2/2003/0765

ANNEX C – INCONSISTENCIES and INACCURACIES

Inconsistencies

Environment Statement Volume 1

- Please clarify ‘9 wind turbines could have a generating capacity of 2MW installed capacity of the project would amount to **20MW**’ (1.2)

Environment Statement Volume 2

The applicant is requested to confirm:

- The routing of the power cables from the turbines to the sub station. (3.1.8) states they will run directly between these locations, but there is no diagram to show the exact routing of the trenches
- that the planning application is for **9 wind turbines** (1st paragraph Preface Environmental Statement Volume 2) as there appears to be some confusion in the Environmental Statement Volume 2 whether the application is **for 9 or 10 wind turbines (11.8), (12.2.4.3) and (13.13).**
- the correct height of the monitoring mast is 65m as stated in the planning application documents and not **60m** as stated in the Environmental Statement Volume 2 (4.3.2)
- that he is prepared to enter into an agreement that any alterations to the highway will be at his expense in accordance with S.278 of the Highways Act 1980 and **not** S.48 of the Road Traffic Act 1984 referred to in the Environmental Statement.
- the proposal is for 9 turbines x 2MW as stated at 6.1 Environmental Statement Volume 2. If as stated elsewhere in the document that the turbines could be up to 2.75MW does this mean that the noise levels used for assessing the impact in the environment are incorrect?
- that the only access point to the proposed site is from A31 as shown in Vol 2 Figure 2 and that no access will be made via the private road in Winterborne Tomson (8.5.3)
- The developer’s statement at 12.2.4.1. Volume 2 Environment Statement contradicts statements previously made at 7.11.3 and 8. 9 in Volume 2. Please obtain clarification of correct statement.
- Please obtain from the developer clarification of which statement is correct. The statement made at 13.4 Volume 2 on Archaeology and Ecological or the statements made at 8.9 Volume 2.
- Please obtain from the developer the location of the hedgerows referred to in 13.9 Volume 2 item (3) as this is not marked as stated. Figure 17 Volume 2 is ‘Noise Measurement Locations’ and does not show any highlighted hedges
- Please obtain from the developer the Nature of Views and Visual Receptors as these are missing from Appendix 4 Volume 2.

- As there are no current stone or aggregate quarries on the proposed site please obtain from the developer clarification of the comment ‘Stone and other aggregates will be sourced from a local quarry. This minimises construction traffic beyond the site boundary and ensures that the stone used in construction is of a similar acidity to the surrounding soils’.

Inaccuracies

Environmental Statement Volume 1

- The following consultee’s replies are not included at Appendix 10 Volume 2:
North Dorset District Council, Countryside Agency, RSPB, Dorset Wildlife Trust, Dorset County Council Planning, Transportation and Environment, NATS, Orange, T Mobile, ICL Outsourcing (2.4)
In addition there are unsatisfactory replies from:
Bournemouth Airport, BBC, NTL, RCA.
- The public exhibition was held on Thursday 17 April 2003 in the Hamm Car Park East Street Blandford NOT on the date stated in Volume 1 (2.4)
- Spasmodic reference is made throughout Volumes 1 and 2 to A534 instead of A354
- Figure 17 Volume 3 is Noise Measurement Locations and no hedges are highlighted (2.5.4)
- Figure 21 Volume 3 there is no markings ‘F/PH’ (2.5.4 Sect 3)
- The access track for turbines 1 and 2 appears to run to the east **NOT** west of the north south hedgerow. (2. 5.5)

Environment Statement Volume 2

- Contents Page i Section 2 and 3 page numbering is incorrect
- 2.5 Selecting the Pentland Road Site **should read** Selecting the Lower Winterborne Site
- Figure 15 Volume 3 implies that the Lower Winterborne area has no landscape character. (4.4.6). The proposed site is located on Chalk Uplands (Dorset Downs). See NDDC Local Plan 2003 Proposals Maps 21, 22, 24. (4.4.6).
- No mention is made of the significant visual impact the proposed development will have on Winterborne Zelston Conservation Area. (4.6.1.1)
- Reference is made to **1998** North Dorset District Local Plan and consequently all the subsequent references to policy nos. are not in accord with the North Dorset District Local Plan **2003** (5.3.1.1)
- In Table 5.2 Results of Visual Analysis under the column headed ‘Direction of view **to** site’. The stated direction **should be opposite** to that quoted.
- The location of the tracing of tithe map for the parish of Winterborne Zelston of 1840 is missing. It does not appear in Volume 3. The paragraph numbering is also incorrect. (8.5.1).
- The last paragraph at **8.6** needs completing.

- Figure 17 Volume 2 is 'Noise Measurement Locations' and does not show any highlighted hedges. **(13.9)**
- The Nature of Views and Visual Receptors are missing from Appendix 4 Volume 2.